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If you have two or more categorical variables, you may want to create one composite categorical variable that can take on all the possible joint values. The canonical example for Stata users is given by cross-combinations of `foreign` and `rep78` in the `auto` data. Setting aside missings, `foreign` takes on values of 0 and 1, and `rep78` takes on values of 1, 2, 3, 4, and 5. Hence there are ten possible joint values, which could be 0 and 1, 0 and 2, and so forth. As it happens, only eight occur in the data. If we add the value labels attached to `foreign`, we have Domestic 1, Domestic 2, and so forth.

Writing the values like that raises the question of whether these cross-combinations will be better expressed as string variables or as numeric variables with value labels. On the whole, an integer-valued numeric variable with value labels defined and attached is the best arrangement for any categorical variable, but a string variable may also be convenient, especially if you are producing a kind of composite identifier.

A method often seen is to produce string variables with `tostring` (see `[D] destring`), for example,

```
    . tostring foreign rep78, generate(Foreign Rep78)
    . gen both = Foreign + Rep78
```

Naturally, there are endless minor variations on this method. A small but useful improvement is to insert a space or other punctuation:

```
    . gen both = Foreign + " + Rep78
```

However, this method is not especially good. `tostring` is really for correcting mistakes, whether attributable to human fault or to some software used before you entered Stata: some variable that should be string is in fact numeric. You need to correct that mistake. `tostring` is a safe way of doing that.

That intended purpose does not stop `tostring` being useful for things for which it was not intended, but there are two specific disadvantages to this method:

1. This method needs two lines, and you can do it in one. That is a little deal.
2. This method could lose information, especially for variables with value labels or with noninteger values. That is, potentially, a big deal.

The second point may suggest using `decode` instead, but my suggestions differ. A better method is to use `egen, group()`. See `[D] egen`.
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. egen both = group(foreign rep78), label

This command produces a new numeric variable, with integer values 1 and above, and value labels defined and attached. Particularly, note the label option, which is frequently overlooked.

This method has several advantages:

1. One line.

2. No loss of information. Observations that are identical on the arguments are identical on the results. Value labels are used, not ignored. Distinct noninteger values will also remain distinct.

3. The label is useful—indeed essential—for tables and graphs to make sense.

4. Efficient storage.

5. Extends readily to three or more variables.

Another fairly good method is to use egen, concat().

. egen both = concat(foreign rep78), decode p(" ")

This command creates a string variable, so it is less efficient for data storage and is less versatile for graphics or modeling. Compared with tostring, the advantages are

1. One line.

2. You can mix numeric and string arguments. concat() will calculate what is needed.

3. You can use the decode option to use value labels on the fly.

4. You can specify punctuation as separator, here a blank.

5. Extends to three or more variables.
Plots of time-series data show time on one axis, usually the horizontal or $x$ axis. Unless the number of time points is small, axis labels are usually given only for selected times. Users quickly find that Stata’s default time axis labels are often not suitable for use in public. In fact, the most suitable labels may not correspond to any of the data points. This will arise when it is better to label longer time intervals, rather than any individual times in the dataset.

For example,

```
.webuse turksales
```

reads in 40 quarterly observations for 1990q1 to 1999q4 with a response variable of turkey sales. The default time axis labels with both `line sales t` and `tsline sales` are 1990q1, 1992q3, 1995q1, 1997q3, and 2000q1. These are not good choices for any purpose, even exploration of the data in private.

Label choice is partly a matter of taste, but you might well agree with Stata that labeling every time point would be busy and the result difficult to read. With 40 quarterly values, possible choices include one point per year (10 labels) and one point every other year (5 labels). One possibility is to label every fourth quarter, as that is usually the quarter with highest turkey sales. `summarize` reveals that the times range from 120 to 159 quarters (0 means the first quarter of 1960), so we can type

```
.line sales t, xlabel(123(4)159)
```

Note how we use a `numlist`, 123(4)159, to avoid spelling out every value. The step length is 4 for four quarters. See [U] 11.1.8 `numlist` or `help numlist` for more details of `numlists`. This graph too would need more work before publication, as the labels are still crowded. The text of the labels (e.g., 1990q4) may or may not be judged suitable, depending partly on the readership for the graph.

However, there is another choice: label time intervals (years) and mark the boundaries between those time intervals by ticks. Consider 1990. The four quarters in Stata’s units are 120, 121, 122, and 123. Thus we could put text showing the year at a midpoint of 121.5 and ticks showing year boundaries at 119.5 and 123.5. For all years, we should use the `numlist` idea again with the following command to produce figure 1.

```
.line sales t, xtick(119.5(4)159.5, tlength(*1.5)) xlabel(121.5(4)157.5, noticks format(%tqCY)) xtitle(""")
```
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Figure 1: Turkey sales in each quarter. Time axis labels show years (with ticks suppressed) and time axis ticks show year ends.

The most important details here are suppressing the ticks for the axis labels and specifying a format for them. Cosmetic additions include lengthening the ticks compared with the default and suppressing the axis title, which would otherwise be the variable name \( t \) (or a variable label if it existed). It is usually clear from the labels what is being shown. Other possibilities include changing the text size for the axis label, changing the angle at which the axis label is shown, and suppressing the century by using a format like \( \%tqY \). Those may not be especially attractive, but nevertheless might be forced upon you by practicalities.

The main idea is clearly more general. The axis labels and the axis ticks need not correspond to each other, and it might be good to have fewer labels than ticks for longer series. Monthly and half-yearly data naturally yield to the same method, but use 12 or 2 and not 4 as the step length. Weekly and daily data are more awkward but still manageable.

If you were producing many similar graphs, you might want to automate this process to some degree. The mental arithmetic might easily be more challenging than in the turkey example. Let us imagine daily data for several years. Thus we could put ticks every January 1 and year labels every July 1. That will be adequate precision in practice. Find the first and last years in your data, if necessary by a command like `gen year = year(date)` followed by `summarize`. Suppose again that the years are 1990–1999. We can put the needed dates in local macros with a loop:

```stata
    . forvalues y = 1990/1999 {
        local jan `jan` `=mdy(1,1,`y')`
        local jul `jul` `=mdy(7,1,`y')`
    }
```
Each time around the loop the daily dates for January 1 and July 1 in each year are calculated on the fly with a call to the mdy() function and added to a macro. For more details, see [P] forvalues and [P] macro, the corresponding help files, or Cox (2002). Once done, the graph command is something like

```
  . line whatever date, xlabel('jul', format(%tdCY) noticks)
>  xtick('jan', tlength(*1.5))
```

A key requirement is that the local macros used in the graph command must be visible, by virtue of being in the same interactive session, do-file, or program. That is in essence what local means.

Calendar years, meaning here Western calendar years, are clearly not the only possibilities. You could use other boundaries and midpoints for years or other periods defined by other criteria (e.g., academic, financial, fiscal, hydrological, political, religious).

**Reference**

Software Updates


The program has been updated so that users of Stata 9 and later can use an addplot() option.


New features include options for specifying weights (including sampling weights) and for obtaining robust and cluster–robust standard errors. The estimation speed has also been improved by using analytical instead of numerical derivatives when maximizing the simulated log-likelihood function. This change has the side effect of producing somewhat different estimation results compared with the previous version for some datasets and model specifications. The new numerical option may be used to replicate estimation results produced with the old version, but it should only be used for that purpose, as it causes the command to run slowly.